

TRAIL ASSET MANAGEMENT PLAN BILLINGS, MONTANA

Prepared for: The City of Billings, Montana Yellowstone County, Montana





In cooperation with: Parks, Recreation, & Public Lands Department Chamber Trails Committee





Other Contributers:







Approved by Policy Coordinating Committee June 2011 10063

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Introduction | 1 |
| Literature Review | 2 |
| Plan Development Process | 2 |
| Inventory of Existing Conditions | 3 |
| Maintenance Item Checklist | 4 |
| Task Budgeting | 6 |
| Additional Items | 7 |
| Inventory of Existing Trails | 8 |
| Trail Types | 8 |
| Trail Inspection | 9 |
| Existing Maintenance Activities | 9 |
| Parks, Recreation and Public Lands Department | 9 |
| Public Works Department | 10 |
| County Park Board | 11 |
| County Weed Department | 11 |
| County Public Works Department | 12 |
| Volunteer Organizations | 12 |
| Recommended Maintenance Activities | 13 |
| Routine Maintenance Activities | 14 |
| Non-Routine Maintenance Activities | 17 |
| Cost Summary and Budget Recommendations | 19 |
| Routine Maintenance Costs | 19 |
| Non-Routine Maintenance Costs | 20 |
| Administrative and Additional Staffing Needs | 22 |
| Funding Opportunities | 22 |
| Implementation Strategies | 25 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | rage |
|--------------------------------|------|
| Table 1. Maintenance Checklist | 5 |
| Table 2. Maintenance Budgeting | 7 |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| Appendix A – Trail Inspection Checklist and S | Summary | and S | Checklist | pection | rail Ins | Α – . | Appendix A |
|---|---------|-------|-----------|---------|----------|-------|------------|
|---|---------|-------|-----------|---------|----------|-------|------------|

Appendix B – Routine Maintenance Cost Summary

Appendix C – Replacement Cost Summary

Appendix D – Example Maintenance Plan for New Trails

LIST OF ACRONYMS

ADA – Americans with Disabilities Act

BPD – Billings Police Department

CTEP – Community Transportation Enhancement Program

FHWA – Federal Highway Administration

GIS – Geographic Information System

MCA – Montana Code Annotated

PILT - Payments in Lieu of Taxes

PMD – Park Maintenance District

PRPL – Parks, Recreation and Public Lands Department (City of Billings)

ROW – Right-of-way

RTP – Recreational Trails Program

TCSP – Transportation, Community and System Preservation Program

YCD - Yellowstone Conservation District

YRPA – Yellowstone River Parks Association

YVCC – Yellowstone Valley Cycling Club

SANDERSON STEWART iii

INTRODUCTION

The City of Billings and Yellowstone County began a comprehensive planning process in the early 1990's to provide more transportation options for non-motorized use. This process began with development of the BikeNet Plan in 1995 and continued to gain momentum with the Heritage Trail Plan in 2004. An additional trail plan update is currently underway, titled Billings Area Bikeway and Trail Master Plan.

Along with the development of these planning documents, Billings has successfully implemented over 35 miles of trail in the last 15 years. With this construction and continued demand for even more trails, there is growing concern over how the trails will be maintained, which departments are responsible for maintenance, and how it will be funded. Many potential funding sources for trail construction have become available in recent years. In fact, many trails in Billings have been constructed using federal funding available through the Community Transportation Enhancement Program (CTEP) and other similar programs. Unfortunately, as funding opportunities have developed for trail construction, comparable funding options have not necessarily been available for trail maintenance. The City of Billings and Yellowstone County are therefore left with the task of addressing maintenance concerns and identifying a viable funding source to maintain the trail system and make repairs and replacements as the system ages.

Development of this Trail Asset Management Plan has been a collaborative effort between Sanderson Stewart; the City of Billings Parks, Recreation and Public Lands Department; the Chamber of Commerce Trails Committee; and the City-County Planning Division. Members of the Billings Police Department Volunteer Bike Patrol and the Chamber of Commerce Trails Committee also made significant contributions towards the effort by completing inspections of existing trail corridors. Throughout this process, the project team also met with Alta Planning + Design, the consultant working on the Billings Area Bikeway and Trail Master Plan, to coordinate efforts and determine how the two documents are interrelated.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The process of developing a comprehensive trail asset management plan for Billings and Yellowstone County began with a thorough literature review. This section discusses the relevant findings of trail maintenance procedures from a review of both existing and proposed trail maintenance plans, recommendations provided by various advocacy groups, and published journal articles. Summaries of these findings are incorporated into the following sections: Plan Development Process, Inventory of Existing Conditions, Maintenance Item Checklist, Task Budgeting, and Additional Items. The purpose of this research is to assess these existing documents and determine which components should be incorporated into the plan for Billings and Yellowstone County.

PLAN DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

In addition to the recreational, alternative transportation, and aesthetic value a newly designed trail can provide to a community, it can also supply positive economic value through trail-related spending. The benefits of trails hinge on continued community support of funding allocations. One factor that can greatly influence the public's support of trail funding is the visual condition of trails from regular maintenance and up-keep. Therefore, the maintenance plan becomes a critical component in the quest to gain public approval and support of trails moving forward.

As documented in several different sources, the first step in development and implementation of a trail maintenance plan is proper coordination and management. The state of West Virginia followed a similar process in development of their statewide plan (The West Virginia Statewide Trail Plan, 2002). The three steps proposed for development of a trail plan are creating the plan, addressing trail issues, and developing a plan for action. A trail maintenance plan is mentioned in the second step as an important issue since trail funding is often secured at the beginning of the project. Governing agencies are more likely to support a trail project if current and future maintenance funds are allocated at the start of the venture.

The task of developing a maintenance plan for the design life of a trail can be challenging with respect to determining an appropriate schedule. Proper coordination of responsibilities and maintenance schedules will help to ensure a complete and workable maintenance plan budget. The trails master plan for the City of Encinitas, California recognized the need for progressive

coordination by defining the governing agency and maintenance responsibilities at the beginning of their plan. They continue to describe the management issues involved in maintenance procedures including inspection reporting and coordination of volunteer organizations. This level of organization will help ensure their maintenance plan budget is followed.

A similar plan development approach was evident in the proposed trail maintenance plan for Waterfront Trail in Toronto, Ontario. The proposed maintenance plan alludes to the lack of sufficient funding and the importance of a maintenance program to ensure budget priority. The Waterfront Trail plan proposed the following process for maintenance plan development: analysis, approach, implementation, and evaluation. "Analysis" and "approach" tasks include tabulating trail issues and public concerns relating to maintenance and prioritizing them in regards to frequency and significance. "Implementation" and "evaluation" tasks include scheduling, implementation, and assessment of maintenance procedures.

The process of developing a trail maintenance plan can help ensure maintenance procedures are coordinated and continue to stay on schedule as new trails are constructed. When creating maintenance procedures for existing trails it is imperative to begin by creating an inventory of existing trails and current conditions.

INVENTORY OF EXISTING CONDITIONS

An inventory of existing trail routes is often maintained to ensure proposed trails are connected with existing trail systems using consistent design standards. Trail inventories are also used to chart maintenance work and classify budgetary needs. The Fairfax County (Virginia) Authority Guide to Trail Management is a prime example for inclusion of a trail inventory in their management plan. Their trail inventory includes location, class of trail, size, surface, culvert information, location and type of signs, location of other amenities (e.g., benches, trailheads, and kiosks), and entry locations for maintenance and emergency operations. In addition, the guide includes a maintenance management system which is a database of all maintenance records and recorded work hours. This system allows the users to better schedule and track maintenance work hours for future planning. The Fairfax County Authority uses GIS based maintenance maps together with their trail inventory and maintenance management system to visually illustrate their trail network. Maintenance maps can be used for planning purposes as well as targeting maintenance activities.

In addition to the existing trails, the Lebanon (Oregon) Trails Strategic Plan incorporates proposed trails into their mapping. This supplement aids planners in selecting the best trail route for future proposals. The Strategic Plan also uses trail category classification to create a priority scoring matrix to rank maintenance operations.

An inventory of existing trails can provide information about current maintenance needs and locations, as well as help to identify recommendations for future requirements. Once a corresponding list of needs has been generated, a checklist for maintenance operations can be developed.

MAINTENANCE ITEM CHECKLIST

In general, maintenance items are grouped into two separate categories: Routine (or scheduled) tasks and Non-routine (or as-needed) tasks. The routine tasks are scheduled tasks that can be developed from an existing maintenance management system or a proposed project schedule. Routine tasks will help extend the life of the trail, provide a high-quality trail system, and improve safety. Non-routine tasks are unscheduled tasks that may be the result of routine tasks (i.e., inspection). Non-routine tasks include both major redesign and construction components, as well minor tasks that are not regularly scheduled.

Many county and state plans similarly classify maintenance tasks into these two categories. The frequency of scheduled tasks will vary depending on location. Table 1 summarizes both routine and non-routine maintenance tasks for Fairfax County, Virginia; Bozeman, Montana; the State of Iowa; and the Rails-to-Trails Conservancy.

Table 1: Maintenance Checklist Compiled from Other Community Plans

| Task | Notes | Frequency | Man-hours (per 1000 lft) | Source |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------|
| Trail Inspection | Walking | Monthly | .2 hr | 1 |
| • | Driving | Monthly | .1 hr | 1 |
| | Surface Investigation | Yearly | NA | 2 |
| | _ | 2X Monthly | NA | 4 |
| Mowing | Hard Surfaces | 3X Annually | .5 hr | 1 |
| | Natural Trails | Monthly | .25 hr | 1 |
| | | 2X Monthly | NA | 3 |
| Tree & Brush Pruning | | 2X Annually | .5 hr | 1 |
| - | | 4X Annually | NA | 3 |
| Leaf & Debris Removal | | 1X Annually | .25 hr | 1 |
| | | 4X Annually | NA | 3 |
| Surface Cleaning | Asphalt Trail | Monthly | NA | 3 |
| Planned (Scheduled) Maintenance | Tasks | | | |
| Task | Notes | Frequency | Man-hours (per 1000 lft) | Source |
| Painting and Repair of Amenities | | Every 5 years | NA | 2 |
| Sealcoat Asphalt Trails | | Every 5 years | NA | 2 |
| Resurface/regrade/restripe Trail | | Every 10 years | NA | 2 |
| Replace/reconstruct Trail | | Every 20 years | NA | 2 |
| Irregular (As-Needed) Maintenanc | e Tasks | | | |
| Task | Notes | Frequency | Man-hours (per 1000 lft) | Source |
| Snow & Ice Removal | | As Needed | .5 hr | 1 |
| | | As Needed | NA | 3 |
| Clean and Replacement of Culverts | Cleaning | As Needed | 1 hr | 1 |
| | Repair | As Needed | 1.5 hr | 1 |
| | Cleaning | As Needed | NA | 3 |
| Maintenance of Water Crossings | | As Needed | 1 hr | 1 |
| Repairs to Signs & Other Amenitie | : | As Needed | .5 hr | 1 |
| | Pavement Markings | As Needed | NA | 3 |
| | Trailheads | As Needed | NA | 3 |
| | 4 1 1 70 11 | A NT 1 1 | NT A | 3 |
| Repaving/Sealing of Asphalt Trail | Asphalt Trail | As Needed | NA | 3 |

Sources: 1. Fairfax County Authority Guide to Trail Management

- 2. Iowa Trails 2000
- 3. Rail-Trail Maintenance & Operation
- 4. Bozeman Parks, Recreation, Open Space and Trails Plan

A few maintenance items are described in different categories (e.g., painting, resurfacing, trail replacement, etc.) by different sources. These specific items can all be classified as non-routine tasks since they occur less than once per year.

The St. John's County (Florida) Trail Operation, Maintenance and Management report also separates its maintenance tasks into routine (scheduled), minor repairs (every 5 years), and major

reconstruction (unscheduled). Their routine maintenance tasks include: facility evaluation, tree/brush clearing, mowing, map/signage updates, trash removal/ litter clean-up, flood damage repair, minor road repairs, planting/pruning, and seasonal signage installation/removal. Minor repairs include replacement of trail amenities, replacement of small trail segments, trail restriping, and seal coating asphalt trails. Major reconstructions include resurfacing an entire asphalt trail and complete replacement of a concrete trail.

National Trails Training Partnership's Trail Maintenance and Management report recommends similar scheduled and irregular maintenance tasks for greenways and urban trails. Their scheduled tasks include: trail inspection, trail sweeping, trash removal, tree/shrub pruning, mowing of vegetation, and scheduling of maintenance tasks. The irregular maintenance tasks include: trail repair, trail replacement, snow/ice removal, weed control, trail edging, trail drainage control, trail signage, re-vegetation, and habitat enhancement/control.

The frequency of these maintenance tasks will vary on the location of the trail system. In addition, the project or maintenance budget can also limit the maintenance task frequency.

TASK BUDGETING

Although only a portion of maintenance tasks need to be routinely scheduled, the entire budget for both routine and non-routine tasks needs to be developed at the beginning of the project. Non-routine maintenance tasks occur at unscheduled times and often need to be completed immediately. Therefore, funding needs to be set aside and made readily available for these tasks. Table 2 shows the average annual maintenance costs per mile for several different jurisdictions evaluated during this literature review.

Table 2: Maintenance Budgeting

| Average Maintenance Budget | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Location/ Organization | Yearly Rate (\$/mile) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Encinitas, CA | 2617 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lebanon, OR | 1819 | | | | | | | | | | |
| State of Iowa | 1500 | | | | | | | | | | |
| National Trails Training Partnership | 2525 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rails-to-Trails | 1200* | | | | | | | | | | |
| Average | 1932.20 | | | | | | | | | | |

^{*}Recommended minimal value

The Rails-to-Trails Conservancy provided an absolute minimum value that should be set aside for maintenance operations. The other values provided in Table 2 are an average of different trail types for several different regional locations. Trail locations will greatly affect the amount of required maintenance, as well as maintenance costs. Therefore, the average maintenance budget value provided in Table 2 should be used as a general estimate for comparison purposes and not necessarily as a recommended absolute value.

ADDITIONAL ITEMS

As portrayed in the task budgeting section, maintenance costs can become very elevated as the amount or length of trail increases. This is a common problem for many cities and counties that can cause major budget concerns. One strategy to help remedy this problem is preventative maintenance methods. The Minnesota Local Road Research Board published an article (Preventative Maintenance for Recreation Trails, 2009) about different methods that can be taken to minimize the time and effort taken for maintenance to lower overall costs. The preventative maintenance methods generally preserve the life of the trail material with seal coats, surface treatments, and crack treatments to asphalt trails. The article investigated several different products to find the ones that performed best for different asphalt designs. These methods help extend the life of the trail and therefore reduce the maintenance costs for trail replacement.

The Federal Highway Administration (FWHA) published an article (Lesson 21: Bicycle Facility Maintenance) including implementation strategies for bicycle facilities. Their strategies include maintenance-minded design and user inspection. Maintenance-minded design includes using edge and shoulder treatments and limiting access to minimize the amount of debris, and using materials

that will extend the life of the trail. Implementing user inspection will lower the costs for routine maintenance by placing the responsibility on the direct users of the facility.

Other jurisdictions have embraced additional user-based funding strategies to help lower maintenance costs. Adopt-a-Trail is a similar program to Adopt-a-Highway, where users and volunteers agree to pick up trash and litter and report any obvious problems with the facility. The state of West Virginia (Mount Vernon Parks and Recreation) has embraced an Adopt-a-Trail program for their state where volunteers are asked to pick up litter four times a year for their trail segment. They give their volunteers additional responsibility with mowing and trimming and require several safety and instructional meetings. The greater Cheyenne, Wyoming area has implemented a similar program called Adopt-a-Spot, where businesses and volunteer organizations from across the community have adopted anywhere from 0.25 to 1 mile segments of trail.

It is evident from this literature review that there is a great deal of planning and strategy that goes into the development and implementation of a trail maintenance plan. Several other elements have been discussed that will help keep a maintenance budget on track and design strategies to minimize maintenance requirements. As the city of Billings and Yellowstone County complete the initial phases of implementing a trail maintenance plan, it is recommended that additional research be completed on progressive strategies for future maintenance plan updates.

INVENTORY OF EXISTING TRAILS

TRAIL TYPES

For the purposes of this trail asset management plan, the Billings-area trails have been separated into hard surface multi-use trails and soft-surface trails. The following paragraphs describe each type of trail.

Hard Surface Multi-Use Trails

Hard surface multi-use trails are generally 8 to 10 feet wide with an asphalt or concrete surface, which provide opportunities for multiple uses (bikes, pedestrians, roller blades, strollers, etc.) and are

generally considered to be ADA accessible. These include trails located within City parkland, trail corridor easements, private subdivisions, and along roadways within City rights-of-way.

Soft Surface Trails

There are also numerous soft-surface trails in City parks and around Billings, most of which are located along the Rims and the Yellowstone River. Soft-surface trails are generally considered to include all trails that do not have a paved surface. They may be constructed with gravel, fines, wood chips, or compacted native soils. Soft-surface trail locations include Phipps Park, Two Moon Park, Earl Guss Park, Pow Wow Park, Swords Park, and many others. Limited maintenance for soft-surface trails is currently being provided by PRPL, the Yellowstone River Parks Association (YRPA), and other volunteer organizations. Although soft surface trails may be added in the future, this plan will focus primarily on the maintenance of hard-surface multi-use trails.

TRAIL INSPECTION

As a basis for analysis, a comprehensive inventory of existing trails was conducted as part of the development of this plan. It was completed largely by volunteers from the Chamber of Commerce Trails Committee and the Billings Police Department Volunteer Bike Patrol. Each volunteer was assigned a trail segment for inspection and given a checklist of items to review. A copy of the checklist that was used, along with a summary of the inspection results, is provided in Appendix A.

EXISTING MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES

PARKS RECREATION AND PUBLIC LANDS DEPARTMENT

The City of Billings Parks, Recreation and Public Lands Department (PRPL) currently maintains all of the trails located within City parkland. Maintenance of hard-surface trails that overlap City and County jurisdictions (such as the MetraPark Trail and those along the river) have been maintained by the PRPL, although there is no formal agreement for this service nor money paid to PRPL. They also maintain trails that were constructed within private subdivisions that have been granted to the City within public access easements or rights-of-way. Examples of these types of trails include the trail through Transtech Center Subdivision and the Midland Trail along Midland Subdivision south of Home Depot.

PRPL also provides trail maintenance within subdivisions through existing park maintenance districts. Park maintenance districts have been in place since the early 1980's, but they do not cover parks and subdivisions developed before that time. To date, the majority of trails that have been constructed in the Billings area have not been tied to an existing park maintenance district, so there have not been a significant amount of new funds available for maintenance of the expanding trail system.

Most of the park maintenance districts have come about because new subdivisions are required, as a condition of annexation into the City, to develop the park areas within them and to create a corresponding maintenance district. They're also required to file a waiver of protest for the properties within the subdivision for future park improvements and maintenance districts that they may be included in. It is difficult to track the exact dollar amount spent on trails through this program because many of the maintenance activities overlap with routine maintenance activities for the parks themselves. Common tasks include snow removal, weed control, graffiti removal, sweeping, trash removal and mowing.

Funded through the City General Fund, PRPL is also responsible for the maintenance of all parks and trails that do not fall within a specific park maintenance district. This budget is vulnerable and in the past has not been able to keep up with the growing demand. In addition, as the City continues to grow, the number of park maintenance districts increases with each new subdivision. By having separate districts, there is considerably more paperwork and man hours involved in tracking the budgets for each.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

The City of Billings Public Works Department is currently responsible for the maintenance of all hard-surface multi-use trails located within the City's public rights-of-way. This includes trails along Alkali Creek Road, Zimmerman Trail, South Billings Boulevard, Shiloh Road, Aronson Avenue, and King Avenue West, among others. The Public Works Department currently has a working agreement with PRPL for the routine maintenance of many of these trails, including snow removal, mowing and general landscape care along the trail corridors. Any actual repair or replacement of

trails along City roadways is conducted by the Public Works Department through their Street-Traffic Division.

COUNTY PARK BOARD

The County Park Board oversees all County-owned parkland located outside of the City limits and within Yellowstone County. The Park Board consists of a ten-member volunteer board appointed by the Yellowstone County Commissioners. One of the Commissioners sits on the County Park Board and serves as a liaison to the County Commissioners.

The County does not have an official parks department, but the County Parks Director provides staff time to the County Parks Board. They hold regular monthly meetings and oversee any contracted park maintenance. Funding for the Parks Director position and contracted park maintenance is currently provided through the Park Board and County Commissioners. Other sources of maintenance funding include the proceeds from leasing County parkland and up to 50% of cash in lieu of subdivision parkland dedications. State law requires a developer to provide a parkland dedication or cash with the size/dollar amount being dependant on lot size and density of the subdivision. Cash in lieu monies are earmarked for park development in or near the subdivision from which the monies were collected. Yellowstone County, through the Parks Director and Parks Board, also maintains a caretaker at Two Moon Park who performs minimal tasks and oversees the use of the park.

At the present time, no specific trail maintenance dollars are expended through the County, except for weed control that occurs through the County Weed Department. Maintenance of the hard-surface trails that overlap City and County jurisdictions (such as the MetraPark Trail and those along the river) have been maintained by the City of Billings PRPL. However, there is no formal agreement in place for this service or money that changes hands.

COUNTY WEED DEPARTMENT

The County Weed Department oversees weed control within the County, including the control of noxious weeds within City limits. Through an existing informal agreement with the City, the County Weed Department performs noxious weed control via herbicide applications and bio-control releases along selected portions of the trail system. The costs of weed control can vary greatly by

the type of existing vegetation, level of re-vegetation and the amount of time that has passed since construction of the particular trail corridor was completed. Newly constructed trails require heavier treatments for the first two to three years until new vegetation is established.

The County Weed Department has estimated the total cost of weed control along trail corridors to be approximately \$2,640 in 2009 and \$4,650 in 2010. The City currently pays the County Weed Department for these costs. The cost of weed control will continue to increase as additional trails are constructed. Limited funding only allows for treatment of trouble spots. However, in order to adequately deal with weed control, emphasis needs to be placed on the establishment of desirable plant communities when the trails are first constructed. Therefore, all new trail projects should provide a vegetation/weed management plan, along with maintenance and associated funding plans, to effectively address the future maintenance of these systems.

COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

The County Public Works Department currently does not participate in any regular maintenance activities involving trails.

VOLUNTEER ORGANIZATIONS

Yellowstone River Parks Association

The Yellowstone River Parks Association (YRPA) is a non-profit organization that has worked with PRPL to build and maintain various soft-surface trails at Riverfront Park, Norm Schoenthal Island, the Conservation Education Center (owned by YRPA), Mystic Park, Earl Guss Park, and Two Moon Park. YRPA uses supervised personnel from the County jail, as well as volunteers from the community to perform maintenance tasks. They also solicit funds for materials, landscaping and maintenance from grants and private contributions.

Billings Police Department Volunteer Bike Patrol

The Billings Police Department (BPD) Crime Prevention Center regularly coordinates many different groups of volunteers, including the recently established Volunteer Bike Patrol. This is a group of approximately fifty avid cyclists that act as ambassadors for the BPD by regularly riding the trails throughout the Billings area to inspect their condition and deter criminal and suspicious

activities. The Volunteer Bike Patrol played a key role in completing the trail inspections that were conducted as a part of the development of this plan and can be effectively utilized for ongoing trail inspections and other activities.

Other Volunteer Organizations

The Yellowstone Valley Cycling Club (YVCC) and the Yellowstone Conservation District (YCD) are two additional volunteer organizations that have shown great interest in helping to build and maintain trails in Billings and Yellowstone County. YVCC has constructed approximately 3.75 miles of soft surface mountain bike trails in Phipps Park. YCD has contributed to the installation of landscaping and amenities along several trail corridors. They planted a pocket area of natural vegetation and built a picnic shelter along the Kiwanis Trail. They also provided an additional picnic table at the Swords Park trailhead and have expressed a desire to apply for grant funding to establish natural vegetation in the area as an educational tool for the public.

PRPL values these partnerships with local clubs and service organizations to make improvements and maintain park facilities. PRPL has a major responsibility to manage the parks and public lands in Billings and to protect the health, safety and welfare of citizens while they utilize trails and other park facilities. To that end, PRPL encourages open communication and agreements with these organizations to ensure park improvements and maintenance are done properly.

RECOMMENDED MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES

The following paragraphs address recommended maintenance activities, primarily for the hard-surface multi-use trails. This asset management plan will ideally address soft-surface trails at some point in the future, but hard-surface trails are the focus of this current effort. Trail user safety is of primary importance for all trails and necessary maintenance operations should be scheduled accordingly.

All maintenance activities will be designated as either routine or non-routine. Routine maintenance activities must be performed on a continuous, scheduled basis. Many are seasonal in nature, but are still considered routine activities. Non-routine maintenance activities are performed on an as-

needed basis in response to a particular problem that needs repair or replacement. These activities will often arise through the result of periodic trail inspections or comments received from trail users.

Management of Trail Maintenance

The long term success of the trail system in Billings and Yellowstone County is contingent on the community's ability to maintain the existing and expanded system. Trails and on-street bike lanes within the City of Billings are maintained by the departments of Parks & Recreation and Public Works. With the City's current financial resources, the recommended maintenance activities outlined in the "Trail Asset Management Plan" would be an optimal maintenance program. Current resources available for trail and on-street bike lane maintenance do not allow the responsible departments to provide these levels of maintenance. While these recommended maintenance activities are desirable, they are lofty recognizing the City and County's existing financial situation. The City of Billings is committed to the Billings Area Bikeway and Trail Master Plan and has committed to providing maintenance of the trails and on-street bike lane system. Trails and on-street bike lanes within the City right-of-way (ROW) will be cleared of snow along arterial and collector streets. Trails within the ROW will be annually swept and repaired as needed. All trails within City parks will be maintained and repaired for seasonal use. Trails within City parks will be prioritized through a cooperative effort of Parks & Recreation Department and Planning Division, and the highest priority trails will be maintained year-round. If funding becomes available for trail maintenance, the City will strive for the maintenance levels recommended in the "Trail Asset Management Plan."

ROUTINE MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES

Trail Inspection

Trail inspection is an integral task to all trail maintenance operations, especially as they relate to ensuring user safety. Inspections should occur on a regularly scheduled basis with the overall frequency depending on location, type and age of the trail. PRPL staff currently performs trail inspections in conjunction with other routine maintenance activities. They may also be conducted in response to user complaints. All trail inspections and complaints regarding the physical appearance

of the trails should be documented. Routine inspections and documentation can remain relatively simple and should include inspection of bridges, tunnels, railing, fencing and retaining walls. More detailed and thorough inspection of bridges, tunnels, railing, and retaining walls should be conducted on a less frequent basis. Trail inspections should also include seasonally checking for tree hazards using accepted hazard assessment practices. Whenever public safety is in question, a certified professional should be consulted.

As discussed previously, initial trail inspections were conducted as part of the development of this plan to establish a baseline for the study and provide an example of the minimum level of inspection that should be conducted on a regular basis moving forward. The results of this effort are summarized in Appendix A.

Trail Sweeping

Trail sweeping is an important aspect of trail maintenance that helps to ensure trail user safety. The type and frequency of sweeping required will depend on trail design and location. Trail sweeping should be done on an as needed basis and may tend to be limited to localized situations at street crossings, where the trail runs through a storm drainage area, or around construction sites. Trails that require sweeping of the whole corridor should be swept by machine, but trails that only require spot sweeping can be swept by hand or with blowers. Some trails may require a combination of methods.

Snow and Ice Removal

Snow removal on hard-surface trails should occur as soon as possible after a snowfall. PRPL should be responsible for snow removal on all hard-surface trails in order to avoid duplication of services and equipment. Ice on trails is not typically removed or treated with de-icer because it only occurs on an infrequent basis and usually only for a short duration.

Mowing

Mowing along trails in developed parks should typically be done on a weekly basis throughout the active growing season, estimated to be approximately 26 weeks by PRPL. Mowing height should be set at 3.5 inches for optimal soil moisture conservation and to enhance turf health.

Vegetation management along trails through natural areas should also consist of mowing on a regularly scheduled basis. Typically a strip 2 to 5 feet wide is mowed along the edges of the trail. This should be done to prevent weed encroachment onto the trail surface, improve site distance and provide a clear run out zone. Consideration should be given to the different grass species and their biological and cultural needs when determining mowing frequency and height.

Trash Removal

Trash removal from trail corridors is important from both a safety and an aesthetic viewpoint. It includes removing ground debris and emptying trash containers. Trash removal should take place on a regularly scheduled basis, the frequency of which may depend on trail use and location. Because litter is a year-round problem, trash containers should be located near street crossings or parking areas where they are easily accessible by maintenance vehicles. Pet litter bag dispensers and signage should also be located near trash containers. The ongoing maintenance costs associated with pet litter stations (including the cost of refill bags) should eventually be included in the annual budget for all trails.

Irrigation

Due to the fact that Billings lies in the semiarid west, it is important to plant vegetation along the trails that can endure fairly extreme environmental conditions. Native landscaping practices should integrate the principles of several conservation initiatives such as reduced water and chemical use, wildlife habitat enhancement, and invasive weed management. Even by following native landscaping principles, water is still a necessary component to establish many types of landscaping. Where supplemental watering may be needed, provisions must be made during trail construction for the use of either truck mounted watering tanks or drip or spray irrigation systems.

Tree and Shrub Management

Trees and shrubs along the trails should be managed to keep them from interfering with trail use, eliminate hazards, prevent trail damage and ensure a healthy plant structure. These types of activities should be performed on a scheduled basis, but may also be completed as needed.

Weed Management

Weed control along trails should be performed to target problematic vegetation (such as the Puncturevine "goathead" thorns along trail edges) in addition to the species that are identified as noxious weeds under the statutes of Montana and Yellowstone County. Environmentally safe weed removal methods should be used, especially along waterways. Trails provide an opportunity to help educate the public about the local environment, including the invasive species of noxious and nuisance weeds.

Weed management requires a continuous effort because noxious weed species are hardy plants that are often times difficult to eradicate. Weed seeds can easily be spread by trail users on their clothing or shoes, as well as by animals and birds. Many of the trails are along riparian areas, and the river and waterways provide a constant and increasing amount of weed seeds that can work their way up the stream banks and inland. Informational material on noxious weeds should be made available to trail users at kiosks, trailheads or specific infestation sites. Information on what to do if they find a noxious weed should also be provided at these sites, including contact information for the County Weed Department. The BPD Volunteer Bike Patrol could also be educated on how to identify noxious weeds and notify the County Weed Department when they find them. These volunteers, as well as other service organizations, could also be taught how to properly remove and dispose of noxious weeds.

Newly constructed trails require heavier treatments for the first two to three years until new vegetation is established. Current funding allocations only allow for treatment of trouble spots, but in order to deal adequately with weed control, emphasis needs to be placed on the establishment of desirable plant communities when the trails are first constructed. Therefore, all new trail projects should provide a vegetation/weed management plan, along with maintenance and associated funding plans, to effectively address the future maintenance of these systems.

NON-ROUTINE MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES

Graffiti Removal

Graffiti is an unfortunate and costly occurrence in parks and public lands, and trails and their associated amenities are no exception. The key to graffiti control is constant observation and

prompt removal. When graffiti is observed during scheduled trail inspections or when it is reported by the public, it should be brought to the immediate attention of maintenance crews for removal. Graffiti is a main focus area of the BPD Volunteer Bike Patrol and they have been a great help to PRPL in identifying problem areas. PRPL's response time for graffiti removal is typically 24-48 hours.

Trail Signage Repair/Replacement

Trail signage includes direction, location and interpretive information, as well as trail safety information. Signs related to safety are most important and should be considered a high priority for replacement when they become unreadable due to age or damage. Informational and interpretive signage can enhance the trail users' experience, but are not critical to user safety. In trail areas where visibility is limited due to horizontal or vertical curves, center lane striping may be desirable to help divide two-way traffic. If used, center lane striping should be refreshed on an annual basis or as needed.

Trailheads

As the trail system expands, there will be more need to identify new trailhead locations serving as access points to the trail system and to improve existing trailheads. These trailheads should include amenities such as vehicular parking, public restrooms and drinking fountains, and kiosks with trail maps, location and emergency information. As these facilities are installed, consideration should be given to material types, durability and location for ease of maintenance and repair. Standardization of these facilities is important to reduce required maintenance operations and costs.

Trail Amenity Repair/Replacement

Various other amenities have been constructed along the trail system, many of which were provided and constructed by volunteer organizations. These amenities include tables, benches, kiosks and picnic shelters. These structures along with the trailhead amenities noted above need continuous inspection to make sure they are in good repair and safe for use. Consideration should be given to material types, durability and location for ease of use, maintenance and repair. Standardization of these amenities is important to reduce required maintenance operations and costs.

Trail Surface Repair/Replacement

Prioritization of trail surface repairs should be a component of the trail inspection process. The time between observation and repair of a trail should depend on whether the needed repair is deemed a hazard and to what degree the needed repair affects the safety of trail users. Another important consideration will be whether the needed repair can be performed by the responsible maintenance crew or if it should be contracted out to another agency or a qualified contractor.

Since many of the trails are located in areas where they are adjacent to or cross sewer lines, gas pipelines, canals or ditches, PRPL should coordinate with the appropriate utility company or agency to make them aware of anticipated trail repairs. Also utility companies and agencies should contact PRPL when they need access to their infrastructure to coordinate any detours or trail closures during repairs and to coordinate trail restoration.

The decision to replace a trail surface, the type of replacement, and the responsible agency will all depend on many factors. These factors should include the age of the trail and money available for replacement. Replacement involves either completely overlaying an asphalt trail with a new asphalt surface or a complete replacement with a new concrete or asphalt surface. Trail replacement, except for trails within the public right of way, should be coordinated by PRPL.

COST SUMMARY AND BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS

ROUTINE MAINTENANCE COSTS

Routine maintenance activities are performed on a continuous, scheduled basis. Therefore, the cost of such tasks can be determined using the number of hours PRPL and other maintenance crews are currently spending on the various maintenance tasks for each particular trail corridor. The number of hours per year can be multiplied by the hourly maintenance crew rate to determine the approximate cost per year for routine maintenance activities. The table provided in Appendix B presents a summary of these calculations for three different trail types in the Billings area trail system. The crew hours and rates used in these calculations are based on information provided by PRPL.

- Park/Corridor Trails. These are approximately 20 foot trail corridors that run through developed and undeveloped parklands. Maintenance costs per mile averages \$2,596.50. Maintenance funding for these trail segments comes from the City's General Fund.
- Subdivision Trails. These trails are typically located in linear, narrow tracts of parkland and are an integral part of the subdivision. These tracts are fully landscaped with turfgrass, irrigation and trees and shrubs and fence line. Many of these trails serve the pedestrian circulation needs of the subdivision in lieu of traditional sidewalks. Maintenance costs per mile averages \$4,618.72. Funding to maintain these trails is allocated through a Park Maintenance District assessed to the property owners of the subdivision.
- Roadway Trails. These trails run along side roads within the street right of way. Many of
 these trail segments are fully landscaped with turfgrass, irrigation and trees and shrubs.
 Included in these trail segments are 6 lighted tunnels. The costs per mile averages \$5,869.67
 and the majority of these funded through a maintenance agreement between PRPL and the
 City of Billings Public Works Department.

Results of these calculations show that the City of Billings currently spends approximately \$171,000 per year on routine maintenance activities, which is equivalent to approximately \$4,100 per mile of trail. These figures should be used by the City and County to determine a reasonable budget for maintenance activities on an annual basis. These calculations should be continuously updated based on actual hours spent maintaining each trail and to account for new trails that are constructed each year. To begin with, the average cost per mile can be used for budgeting purposes for new trails with minor adjustments made for anticipated site specific conditions. Actual budget numbers for each new trail can then be determined within one year after construction is complete using the same method described above.

NON-ROUTINE MAINTENANCE COSTS

Non-routine maintenance activities are performed on an as-needed basis in response to a particular problem that needs repair or replacement. These activities will often arise through the result of periodic trail inspections and/or comments received from trail users. Because these tasks are completed on an irregular basis, the cost of such activities cannot be calculated in the same manner as routine maintenance activities.

In addition, the amount of funding for non-routine tasks can vary greatly depending on the type of maintenance required. For example, graffiti removal would be much less expensive than replacement of a trail section. Therefore, it is recommended that a pool of funding for smaller non-routine maintenance activities be set aside each year as part of the City and County budgeting processes. It is anticipated that approximately \$10,000 per year would cover costs of smaller non-routine projects for the existing trail system, including graffiti removal, signage replacement, amenity repair and minor surface improvements. This figure should be evaluated annually and necessary adjustments should be made as actual dollars spent are tracked from year to year. It is recommended that any unused funds at the end of the year be rolled over to the next year, and as they build up over time, these funds could be used to fund slightly larger projects.

Larger surface improvement projects and complete replacement projects should be funded through the more traditional methods currently being used to fund new trail construction projects. Because of the size of these projects and the estimated life cycle of existing trails (20-40 years, depending on surface type), it is not practical for the City of Billings or Yellowstone County to try to begin budgeting for these replacements on an annual basis. Alternatively, it is recommended that the federal funding options available for trail construction be pursued to fund these projects when the need arises at some point in the future. These funding sources may include the Community Transportation Enhancement Program (CTEP), Recreational Trails Program (RTP), and the Transportation, Community, and System Preservation Program (TCSP).

The table included in Appendix C provides a summary of estimated replacement costs for the existing trails in Billings and Yellowstone County. These calculations are based on an assumed construction cost inflation rate of 4% per year and assumed facility life cycles of 20 years for asphalt trails, 40 years for concrete trails, and 50 years for the concrete structures that support the pedestrian underpasses. Each of these assumptions is consistent with current industry standards. To date, Billings and Yellowstone County have made a \$12.3 million investment in constructing the existing trail system. It should be noted that construction cost information was not readily available for all trail segments listed in Appendix C, so the actual dollar figure would likely be much higher.

Results of the calculations in Appendix C show that the ultimate replacement cost of these facilities may be over \$100 million, if replaced all at once, at the end of the life cycle. However it's

unreasonable to assume that replacement would actually take place in this manner. It should also be noted that these replacement cost estimates do not account for the one-time fees associated with the original trail construction that would not necessarily be required for the replacement project, such as the cost of utility relocations or right-of-way acquisition. Because these one-time fees can be difficult to approximate on an individual project basis, and in order to add a measure of conservatism to these estimates, no attempt was made to adjust for these fees.

ADMINISTRATIVE AND ADDITIONAL STAFFING NEEDS

PRPL has traditionally assumed the maintenance responsibilities for the trail system. As the system has grown in size maintenance and administrative costs have been absorbed into the existing operations. With over 35 miles of trails currently in use, these costs have become significant. It is recommended that PRPL track these costs to be considered during the annual budgeting process. Along with covering administrative costs, additional staffing needs should include an individual that would be responsible for trail maintenance, as discussed in greater detail in the Implementation Strategies section of this report. It is recommended that this new position be a full-time staff person supervised by the Parks Division Superintendant. A reasonable funding level for this position would be \$45,000 per year, including benefits.

FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES

There are several funding sources available for trail construction, improvements and maintenance, through Federal and State agencies. The Billings Area Bikeway and Trail Master Plan provides a listing of the available sources and their application to trails in parks and rights-of-way. More detailed discussion of those programs specifically providing maintenance funding opportunities is provided in the following sections.

Adopt-A-Trail

Adopt-a-Trail programs assign the responsibility for cleaning and maintaining segments of trails to interested organizations or individuals. As part of the Billings Area Bikeway and Trail Master Plan, the Planning Division and their consultant team are considering options for a multi-tiered adopt-a-mile program where individuals or organizations would have the opportunity to adopt a mile of trail and contribute towards the annual maintenance costs of that particular piece of trail, as well as be

recognized for their contribution in some fashion along the trail corridor. Refer to the Billings Area Bikeway and Trail Master for additional information regarding this program.

Recreational Trails Program

A grant application for Recreational Trails Program funding can be submitted for trail maintenance. This is a reimbursement program so the money would need to be expended first and then reimbursed by the State after the project is completed. The application must be for a specific trail and maintenance activity, such as weed control along Swords Park Trail. This is not an ongoing, dependable source of funding as the applicant needs to reapply each year and the most that can be granted for a particular project is \$35,000. There is a significant demand for this funding across the state, so very few projects receive the full application amount.

Park Maintenance Districts

The existing subdivision policy requires new subdivisions to create a park maintenance district to maintain new public parks and trails created within the subdivision. This mechanism can only be used for parks and trails located in new subdivisions. It cannot be used for new trails created within existing parks. Individual park maintenance districts create a fragmented system and an incomplete mechanism for maintaining the entire system of parks and trails. A city-wide or county-wide maintenance district would provide a more comprehensive approach to system-wide funding for park and trail maintenance.

Park maintenance districts generally fund maintenance of landscaping, trees, irrigation systems, trails, sidewalks, lighting and park equipment. The purpose of the district is to provide perpetual maintenance of the improvements. Maintenance costs are estimated and approved by the City Council on an annual basis and each lot within the district is assessed an equal amount.

Special District by Assessment

Trail maintenance and improvements could be funded through the creation of a city-wide special assessment district. State law (MCA Section 7-11-1001 et. Seq.) grants Montana cities the authority to establish special assessment districts. A district can be formed if less than 50% of property

owners protest. The assessments can also be adjusted annually by City Council to meet changing needs.

Gas Tax

Gas tax revenues are generated through State gasoline taxes. These funds can be used for construction, reconstruction, repair and maintenance of streets. Half of the City's allocation is based on population, while the other half is based on miles of streets and alleys located within the City. Gas taxes have traditionally been used primarily for roadway maintenance within the City of Billings and Yellowstone County. However, state code also allows gas taxes to be used for trail maintenance within public rights-of-way.

PILT Funds

Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILT) are Federal payments to local governments that help offset losses in property taxes due to nontaxable Federal lands within their boundaries. Yellowstone County receives an annual payment from the Federal Government based on the number of acres of qualified Federal land located within Yellowstone County. These funds may be used at the County's discretion for any governmental purpose.

Public Utility Bill Donations

City residences and businesses receiving water and sewer services are invoiced monthly. The invoice could include a voluntary option for the customer to donate money for the development and maintenance of the trail network. The money received from this program would be transferred to an account established for these purposes. In addition to trail development and maintenance, the money could also be used to match grant funds.

Private Funding Sources

Private funding could be solicited through the PRPL Foundation or through advocacy groups like BikeNet that have a 501(c)(3) designation to establish an endowment fund for trail maintenance.

IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES

Establish a Public Comment System

As discussed previously, a common factor that often influences the public's support of trail funding is the visual condition of the trails. Regular trail users are often times the first to notice trail deficiencies or safety issues. Therefore, it is recommended that the City of Billings and Yellowstone County implement a user feedback system that will give the public the opportunity to provide comments related to trail condition directly to the agency responsible for the maintenance of that particular trail. This can be done by posting a sign or kiosk at each trailhead with the necessary contact information. Self-addressed comment cards could also be provided in these locations.

Require a Maintenance Plan for All New Trails

In order to ensure that each new trail is evaluated for future maintenance needs, it is recommended that a new policy be established in the City of Billings and Yellowstone County that requires preparation of a maintenance plan for each new trail that comes on line. The plan should include all standard trail characteristics (surface type, width, location and length) as identify which agency will be responsible for maintenance and any special requirements for the particular trail. The plan should also include cost information that can be incorporated into the annual budgeting process. An example worksheet that could be used for this process is included in Appendix D. Maintenance plans should be completed during the design process for new trails to ensure that responsibility and necessary funding for trail maintenance has been addressed before the trail is even constructed.

Implement a Maintenance Management System

It is recommended that the City of Billings and Yellowstone County eventually implement a trail maintenance management system, similar to what has been implemented in Fairfax County, Virginia. The system can provide an inventory of existing trail conditions and amenities, as well as provide a central location for tracking all maintenance records and recorded work hours. As this database is continuously updated, it can be used for determining required budget adjustments on an annual basis. It is recommended that the system be integrated into the City and County GIS system, so trail maintenance maps can easily be developed for planning purposes.

Establish a Volunteer Coordinator Position

As service organizations and volunteer groups continue to step forward to offer assistance with maintenance of the trail system, a Volunteer Coordinator position should be established to provide direction and consistency in coordinating the available service hours with the maintenance operations needed. In order to reduce exposure to liability issues, the Volunteer Coordinator would also be responsible for coordinating which maintenance operations will be best suited to the capabilities of the particular volunteer group. Other potential responsibilities of this staff position include implementation of the maintenance management system (as noted above) and administration of the Adopt-a-Trail program and other recognition programs outlined in the Billings Area Bikeway and Trail Master Plan.

Further Define Agency Roles and Responsibilities

As the trail system in Billings and Yellowstone County continues to expand, it will become even more critical that the roles and responsibilities of each agency be clearly defined. It is also recommended that a point person be identified as the individual responsible for coordination between agencies and continually updating the recommended maintenance management system. These tasks could fall within the duties of the Volunteer Coordinator position noted above or an existing PRPL staff position.

Establish Specific Agency Agreements

There are numerous formal and informal agreements in place between City and County agencies for trail maintenance. This provides for a cost effective use of available resources and should be continued in the future. However, consideration should be given to the type of equipment and staff available to each agency to ensure that duplication of expensive equipment is avoided. PRPL has most of the equipment required for trail maintenance and can arrange for seasonal staff, but a consistent budget needs to be in place for the department to be able to continue to fund this operation. The same is true for the County Weed Department. It has the equipment, staff and knowledge to effectively treat noxious and nuisance weeds, but they need a consistent budget to adequately provide this service for the entire trail system. Written maintenance agreements should be established between City and County agencies to identify responsibilities, and, when responsibilities for departments overlap jurisdictions, inter-local agreements should be written to clearly define the funding, staffing and equipment obligations of the responsible party.



APPENDIX A TRAIL INSPECTION CHECKLIST AND SUMMARY









TRAIL INSPECTION FORM CITY OF BILLINGS TRAIL MAINTENANCE PLAN

| TRAIL SLOMEINT. | | FK' | OM: | 10: | |
|--|----------------|--------------------|--------------|------------------|----------|
| SURFACE TYPE: | | | RETE | | |
| APPROXIMATE LENGTH | H: | APPROX | IMATE WIDTH: | | |
| LANDSCAPE TYPE: | TURF | ☐ NATIVE GRASSE | S TREES, | /SHRUBS 🔲 C | THER |
| ARE CRACKS OR SIGN IF SO, PLEASE NOTE A | | | | | □ NO |
| ARE ANY OF THE CRA OF THE TRAIL SURFACT IF SO, PLEASE NOTE A | Eŝ | | | IN VERTICAL DISP | LACEMENT |
| IS THERE ANY TREE RO IF SO, PLEASE NOTE A | | | | YES | □ NO |
| IS THE TRAIL & ADJACE IF NOT, PLEASE NOTE | | | | | |
| WAS ANY GRAFFITTI (IF SO, WHERE? | | | | YES | □NO |
| DO BENCHES, SHELTE PLEASE NOTE ANY DE | RS, & OTHER AM | NENITIES APPEAR TO | BE IN GOOD (| CONDITION? [| yes nc |
| DOES THE LANDSCAP PLEASE NOTE ANY DE | | | | YES | □ NC |
| | | | | | |

| DOES THE TRAIL SIGNAGE APPEAR TO BE IN GOOD WORKING ORDER? PLEASE NOTE ANY DEFICIENCIES | YES | □ NO |
|--|-----------------|------|
| IS THERE A SMOOTH TRANSITION FROM EDGE OF TRAIL TO SHOULDER? PLEASE NOTE ANY DEFICIENCIES | YES | □ NO |
| ANY OBVIOUS DRAINAGE PROBLEMS? PONDING OR EVIDENCE OF POND YES NO PLEASE NOTE ANY DEFICIENCES | ING ON TRAIL? | |
| ADDITIONAL COMMENTS? (please use additional sheets and/or attach photo | graphs as neede | d) |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Trail Inspection Summary 12/3/2010

| | | | Trail Ch | aracteristi | cs | | | | | | Observed Problems (Yes/No) | | | | | | | Observed Cond | itions | (Good/Poor) | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|-------------------|-----|-----------------------------------|-------|----------------------|----------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----|--------------------------------------|------|----------------------------------|------|-----------------------|--------|------------------------------------|----------|--------------|----------------------------|
| Trail Corridor | | face I | | Approx. Width (Feet) | Landscape Type | | Surface Deterioration | Ve | ertical Displacement | Root Damage | Litter & Debris | Graffiti | | Drainage | | Amenities | | Landscape | | Trail Signage | Shoulder | Transition | Other Concerns |
| Mary to Mystic | | С | NR | NR | NR | Yes | | Yes V | West of Hemingway Cr | No | No Y | es South of Colson Park | Yes | | Good | | Good | | Good | od | Good | | N/A |
| Descro Park Trail | | С | 0.5 | 10 | T/N | Yes | North of Central Ave, at Cook Ave | Yes A | At Custer Ave | No | NR Y | es On trail, sign, bench, shelter | No | | Poor | | Good | | Poor | or | Good | | N/A |
| Swords Park | A | /C | 2.2 | 10 | N/TR | Yes | Cracks in trail | No | | No | No Y | es Painted over | Yes | Water runs over trail | Good | | Good | | Good | od | Good | | Some Pot Holes |
| Stewart Park Trail | | С | 0.5 | 10 | T/N | No | | No | | No | No N | lo | NR | | Good | | Good | | Poo | or Near Phyllis Ln | NR | | N/A |
| Big Ditch Trail | Α | /C | 1.4 | 8 | T/N/TR/O | Yes | Cracks & Surface rises | Yes | | No | Yes Nearby grass clippings Y | es Several Locations | Yes | some puddles in low spots | Poor | Light, concrete broken in tunnel | Good | | Good | od | Good | | N/A |
| TransTech Trail | | С | 0.25 | 6 | TR | Yes | Small cracks near pond | No | | No | No N | | No | | Good | | Good | | Good | | Good | | N/A |
| King's Green Sub Tr | ail | С | 0.5 | 10 | NR | No | | No | | No | No N | | Yes | | Good | | Good | | Good | | Good | | N/A |
| Lampman Strip Park | | С | 0.5 | 10 | T/N/TR | No | | No | | No | No Y | es Graffiti painted over | Yes | Dirt & sand across trail | N/A | | Poor | Tall weeds | Goo | od Crossing markings at Monad | Poor 1 | " drop | Poor Landscaping |
| Bannister Drain Trail | 1 | С | 0.6 | 8 | T/N/TR/O | No | | No | | No | Yes Low hanging trees, gravel & dirt N | | Yes | On McCall Trail, near retaining wall | Good | | Poor | Tall weeds & grass | Good | od | Poor R | ail needed | Rail Missing |
| | | | | | | | T | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Broadwater Crossing | | | NR | NR | О | No | | No | | No | Yes Gravel & broken glass | | No | | N/A | | Poor | | Goo | od Crossing markings deteriorating | | | N/A |
| Alkali Creek Road Tr | | C/S | 2.0 | 8 | N/TR | No | | No | | No | No N | | No | | Good | | Good | | Poor | 8 8 | | lot finished | Weeds in Cracks |
| Zimmerman Road Ti | | /C | 1.0 | 8 | R/N/TR | No | | No | | No | | | No | | Good | | Good | | Good | | Good | | N/A |
| South Billings Blvd. | | /C | 2.0 | 6 | T/N | No | | No | | No | Yes Broken glass | | No | | Good | | Poor | | Poor | | Good | | N/A |
| Shiloh Road Trail | A Note | /C | 4.0 = Asphalt | 8 | T/N/TR/O | No | | No | | No | Yes Mud on trail Y | es Graffiti in Shiloh underpass | Yes | | Good | | Poor | Encroaching weeds/mud | Good | | Good | | N/A $N/A = Not Applicable$ |
| | Note | C : NE NE O : S := T : | = Asphant = Concrete = Native Gr R = Not Rec = Other = Soft Surfac = Turf = Tress/Sl | corded | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .,, год гаррисане |



APPENDIX B ROUTINE MAINTENANCE COST SUMMARY



| Routine | Maintenance | Cost | Summary | |
|---------|-------------|------|---------|--|
|---------|-------------|------|---------|--|

| | | | | | | | | | | Routine M | Iaintenance Cost | (per year) | • |
|---|--|---|----------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|------------|--------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | Estimated Co | rew Hours | | |
| | | | Distance | Surface | | | | Maintenance Funding | 1 0, , | | | | Estimated Cos |
| Trail Corridor | Trail Segment | Description | (miles) | Type | Landscape Type | Ownership | Maintained by | Source | Weeds, etc. | Snow Removal | Mowing | Total | (\$38/hr) |
| Kiwanis Trail | Heights Kiwanis | Mary St. to Yellowstone River Road | 2.00 | Concrete | Undeveloped | City PW | City PRPL | General Fund | 100.0 | 30.0 | 39.0 | 169.0 | \$ 6,422 |
| | Hawthorne Lane Connector | Connection from Kiwanis Trail to Hawthorne Lane and Subdivision | 0.24 | Concrete | Undeveloped | City PRPL | City PRPL | General Fund | 5.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 9.0 | \$ 342 |
| | Emma Jean Heights Connector | Connection from Bitterroot thru Emma Jean towards Kiwanis Trail | 0.19 | Concrete | Undeveloped | City PRPL | City PRPL | General Fund | 5.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 9.0 | \$ 342 |
| | Hoven Subdivision Connector | Connection from Kiwanis Trail to Hoven Subdivision | 0.03 | Concrete | Undeveloped | City PRPL | City PRPL | General Fund | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | \$ 114 |
| | So. Heights Subdiviison Connector | Connection from Kiwanis Trail to So. Heights Subdivision | 0.04 | Concrete | Undeveloped | City PRPL | City PRPL | General Fund | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | \$ 114. |
| | Kale Subdivision Connector | Connection from Kiwanis Trail to Kale Subdivision | 0.01 | Concrete | Undeveloped | City PRPL | City PRPL | General Fund | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | \$ 114. |
| Jim Dutcher Trail | MetraPark | Kiwanis Trail by Yellowstone River Road to northeast end of Coulson Park | 2.00 | Concrete | Undeveloped | City PW/County | City PRPL | General Fund | 40.0 | 30.0 | 39.0 | 109.0 | \$ 4,142. |
| | Metra Parking Lot Connector | Connection from MetraPark Trail to the Metra Parking lot by Alkali Creek Bridge | 0.08 | Asphalt A | Natural | City PRPL | City PRPL | General Fund | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | \$ 114. |
| | Lake Elmo DrBench Blvd Connector | Connection from MetraPark Trail to Lake Elmo Dr./Bench Blvd. Intersection | 0.01 | Concrete | Undeveloped | City PRPL | City PRPL | General Fund | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | \$ 114. |
| | Downtown Bike Connector | Through Coulson Park to Mystic Park with bike lanes on So. 25th St. | 2.93 | Concrete | Undeveloped | City PRPL/PW | City PRPL | General Fund | 40.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 100.0 | \$ 3,800. |
| | Riverfront Park | Asphalt trail through Riverfront Park | 1.30 | Asphalt A | Natural | State FWP | City PRPL | General Fund | 50.0 | 2.0 | 24.0 | 76.0 | \$ 2,888. |
| | Riverfront Park Connector | Connection from parking lot by shelter & restroom to trail | 0.05 | Asphalt A | Natural | State FWP | City PRPL | General Fund | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | \$ 114. |
| | Josephine Crossing Trail | Connection from Norm's Island to Josephine Subdivision | 0.24 | Soft Surface | Natural | City PRPL | PRPL/YRPA | General Fund | | | | 0.0 | \$ - |
| BBWA Canal Trail | Descro Park Trail | Through Descro Park from Broadwater to Central | 0.50 | Concrete | Undeveloped | City PRPL | City PRPL | General Fund | 40.0 | 8.0 | 32.0 | 80.0 | \$ 3,040. |
| | Descro Park Trail Connector | Connections to Meadowlark School and Central Court Village | 0.06 | Concrete | N/A | City PRPL | City PRPL | General Fund | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | \$ 114. |
| | Stewart Park Trail | Central south thru Stewart Park | 0,50 | Concrete | Landscape | City PRPL | City PRPL | General Fund | 38.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 | 56.0 | \$ 2,128, |
| | Famous Dave's Trail | Connection between Lampman Strip Park Trail and King Ave. W. | 0.01 | Concrete | Landscape | City PRPL | City PRPL | General Fund | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | \$ 114. |
| | Lampman Strip Pk | Across Monad thru Lampman Strip to Famous Dave | 0.40 | Concrete | Undeveloped | City PRPL | City PRPL | General Fund | 30.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 44.0 | \$ 1,672. |
| | Bannister Drain Trail | Trail linking across King Ave. south to McCall's Trail and east to Midland Trail | 0.60 | Concrete | Undeveloped | City PRPL | City PRPL | General Fund | 22.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 36.0 | \$ 1,368. |
| | Broadwater Crossing | Connection from Lillis Park to Descro Park Trail | 0.01 | 33111111 | N/A | City PW | City Streets Dept | City PW | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | \$ 114. |
| | Midland Trail (24th Street Connector) | Connection through Midland Subdivision to 24th Street W. with link to S.25th St. | 0.43 | Concrete | Landscape | PRPL?Private | City PRPL | General Fund | 32.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 46.0 | \$ 1,748. |
| Elmo Lake Trail | Elmo Lake Trail | Trail along the north side of Lake Elmo | 0.48 | Soft Surface | Natural | State FWP | State FWP | State FWP | 32.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | \$ 1,740. |
| Pioneer Park Trail | Pioneer Park Trail | Trail through Pioneer Park | 0.30 | Asphalt A | Landscape | City PRPL | City PRPL | General Fund | 6.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 15.0 | \$ 570. |
| Big Ditch Trail | East of Shiloh Underpass | Trail east of Shiloh underpass to 38th St. W. | 0.25 | Concrete | Concrete | City PRPL | City PRPL | PMD | 5.00 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | \$ 1,140. |
| Dig Diten Tran | Shiloh Underpass | Shiloh pedestrian underpass to Big Ditch (Coulton area) | 0.02 | Concrete | Undeveloped | City PW | City PRPL | PMD | 30.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 32.0 | \$ 1,216. |
| | Big Ditch Trail, Phase 1 | Shiloh underpass west to Larchwood Lane | 0.02 | | Undeveloped | City PRPL | City PRPL | PMD | 6.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 10.0 | \$ 380. |
| | | | 0.25 | Concrete | | | City PRPL City PRPL | PMD | 10.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 50.0 | \$ 380. |
| Gabel Road Corridor | Big Ditch Trail, Phase 2 | Larchwood Lane to Rimrock West Park (46th St W) | 0.90 | Concrete | Undeveloped | City PRPL City PRPL | | | 10.0 | 10.0 | | 30.0 | , , , , , |
| Gabei Road Corridor | TransTech Trail | Trail through west end of TransTech out to Gabel along Hogan's Slough | 0.00 | Concrete | Undeveloped | | City PRPL | General Fund | | | 10.0 | 00.0 | \$ 1,140. |
| C 1 D 1 A; (D 1C :1 | Gabel Road Connector | Trail through east end of TransTech to 32nd and Gabel | 0.33 | Concrete | Undeveloped | City PRPL | City PRPL | General Fund | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 30.0 | \$ 1,140. |
| Swords Park Airport Road Corridor | Swords Park Trail Phase 1 | Trail from west end of Swords Park to Black Otter Trail split towards the East | 2.00 | Asphalt A | Natural | City PRPL/Airport/MDT | City PRPL | General Fund | 50.0 | 25.0 | 40.0 | 115.0 | \$ 4,370. |
| | Swords Park Trail Phase 2 (TBC) | Continuation of the trail to the east to connections under Airport Road | 1.60 | Asphalt A | Natural | City PRPL | City PRPL | General Fund | 40.0 | 20.0 | 32.0 | 92.0 | \$ 3,496. |
| 0.1 | Airport Road Underpasses with Trail Connectors | Underpasses at 27th St., Airport Rd. & Alkali Creek Rd. with trail connectors | 0.76 | Concrete | N/A | MDT | City PRPL | General Fund | 100.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 123.0 | \$ 4,674. |
| Sub-total | | | 18.85 | | | | | | 678.0 | 250.0 | 360.0 | 1288.0 | \$ 48,944. |
| Average Cost Per Mile | | | | | | | | | | | | | \$ 2,596. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Harvest & Olympic Subdivision Trails | | Trails through Harvest and Olympic Subdivisions | 5.35 | Concrete | | City PRPL | City PRPL | PMD | 250.0 | 80.0 | 200.0 | 530.0 | \$ 20,140. |
| Ironwood Estates Subdivision Trail | | Trails through Ironwood Subdivision | 2.30 | Asphalt A | Landscape | City PRPL | City PRPL | PMD | 125.0 | 40.0 | 80.0 | 245.0 | \$ 9,310. |
| Rehberg Ranch Estates Subdivision Trail | | Trail along Iron Horse Trail | 1.17 | Asphalt A | Undev/Nat/Land | City PRPL | City PRPL | PMD | 85.0 | 25.0 | 32.0 | 142.0 | \$ 5,396. |
| Kings Green Subdivision Trail | | Trail as buffer between King's Green Sub and Interstate 90 | 0.19 | Concrete | Landscape | City PRPL | City PRPL | PMD | 15.0 | 10.0 | 73.0 | 98.0 | \$ 3,724. |
| Cove Ditch Trail | | Trails through Falcon Ridge, Copper Ridge and Reflections of Copper Ridge | 0.18 | Concrete | Landscape/Natural | City PRPL | City PRPL | PMD | 52.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 102.0 | \$ 3,876. |
| Sub-total | | | 9.19 | | | | | | 527.0 | 175.0 | 415.0 | 1117.0 | \$ 42,446. |
| Average Cost Per Mile | | | | | | | | | | | | | \$ 4,618. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alkali Creek Trail Corridor | Alkali Creek Road Trail | Trail along Alkali Creek Road from Black Pine to Senators | 1.34 | Asphalt A | Undev/Nat/Land | City PW | City PRPL | City PW | 100.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 150.0 | \$ 5,700. |
| | Main Street Underpass & Earl Guss Park Trail | Ped. underpass (Alkali Creek) with link thru Earl Guss Pk and to sidewalk on west side | 0.24 | Concrete | Undev/Nat/Land | City PW/MDT | City PRPL | General Fund | 80.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 140.0 | \$ 5,320. |
| | Alkali Creek Road Trail, Phase 3 | Continuation of trail along Alkali Creek Road, Black Pine to Swords Pk. North | 0.47 | Asphalt A | Undev/Land | City PW | City PW | City PW | 120.0 | 25.0 | 40.0 | 185.0 | \$ 7,030. |
| | Airport Rd., Alkali Creek Rd., 27th Street Underpasses | Underpasses built as part of the Airport Rd. with trail links | 0.76 | Concrete | N/A | City PW/MDT | City PRPL | General Fund | 150.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 173.0 | \$ 6,574. |
| Zimmerman Road Trail | | Trail along Zimmerman from Poly to Broadwater | 1.28 | Asphalt A | Landscape | City PW | City PRPL | City PW | 160.0 | 25.0 | 180.0 | 365.0 | \$ 13,870. |
| So. Billings Blvd. Trail | | Trail along So. Billings Blvd. from Underpass Ave. to King Ave. East | 0.65 | Asphalt A | Undev/Land | City PW | City PRPL | General Fund | 80.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 | 160.0 | \$ 6,080. |
| Rimrock Road Trail | | Trail along Rimrock Road from Shiloh to 54th St. W. | 1.65 | Asphalt A | Undev/Land | City PW | City Streets Dept | General Fund | 80.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 | 160.0 | \$ 6,080. |
| Aronson Ave. Trail | | Trail from Alkali Creek Rd. to Camel Place | | | Nat/Land | City PW | City PW | General Fund | 25.0 | 12.0 | 10.0 | 47.0 | \$ 1,786. |
| King Avenue West Trail | | Trail along King Ave. W. from S.32nd W. to S. 44th St. W. | 1.47 | Asphalt A | | City PW | City PW | General Fund | 50.0 | 18.0 | 96.0 | 164.0 | \$ 6,232. |
| King Aveilde West Hall King Ave E | Miller Crossing Sub Trail | Trail along King Ave. W. Holli 3.32hd W. to 3. 44th 3t. W. Trail along King Ave. E. by Cabela's and Sam's from Orchard to So. Billings Blvd. | 0.50 | Concrete | | City PW | City Fw City Streets Dept | General Fund | 50.0 | 15.0 | 20.0 | 85.0 | \$ 3,230. |
| Shiloh Road Corridor | Shiloh Road Trail | Rimrock to ZooMontana | 4.60 | Conc./Asph. | | City PW/MDT | City Streets Dept | General Fund | 250.0 | 44.0 | 120.0 | 414.0 | \$ 3,230. |
| Januar House Goridon | Yegen Underpass | Underpass on Shiloh between Grand and Broadwater | 0.03 | Concrete | | City PW/MDT City PW/MDT | City Streets Dept | General Fund | 20.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 50.0 | \$ 15,732. \$ 1,900. |
| 0.1 1 | regen Onderpass | Onderpass on Silion between Grand and Broadwater | | Concrete | Undeveloped | City F W / MD I | City success Dept | General Fund | | | | | |
| Sub-total | | | 13.55 | | | | | | 1165.0 | 244.0 | 684.0 | 2093.0 | \$ 79,534. |
| Average Cost Per Mile | | | | | | | | | | | | | \$ 5,869. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| arr | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Average Cost Per Mile | | | 41.59 | | | | | | 2370.0 | 669.0 | 1459.0 | 4498.0 | \$ 170,924. \$ 4,109. |



APPENDIX C REPLACEMENT COST SUMMARY



| placement Cost Summary | | | | | | | | | | 2/ |
|---|---|--|-----------------------------|----------|------|----------------------|------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Trail Corridor | | | | Distance | Year | | Estimated | Initial Construction | Estimated | Estima Replace |
| | Trail Segment | Description | Project Number | (miles) | | Surface Type | Life Cycle | Cost | Replacement Cost | Yea |
| Kiwanis Trail | Heights Kiwanis | Mary St. to Yellowstone River Road | | 2.00 | 1996 | Concrete | 40 | \$ 170,000.00 | \$ 816,173.51 | 2030 |
| | Hawthorne Lane Connector | Connection from Kiwanis Trail to Hawthorne Lane and Subdivision | | 0.24 | 2002 | Concrete | 40 | | \$ - | 2042 |
| | Emma Jean Heights Connector | Connection from Bitterroot thru Emma Jean towards Kiwanis Trail | | 0.19 | 2008 | Concrete | 40 | | \$ - | 2048 |
| | Hoven Subdivision Connector | Connection from Kiwanis Trail to Hoven Subdivision | | 0.03 | | Concrete | 40 | | - | |
| | So. Heights Subdivision Connector Kale Subdivision Connector | Connection from Kiwanis Trail to So. Heights Subdivision Connection from Kiwanis Trail to Kale Subdivision | | 0.04 | | Concrete | 40 | | \$ - | |
| Iim Dutcher Trail | MetraPark | Kiwanis Trail by Yellowstone River Road to northeast end of Coulson Park | | 2.00 | 1998 | Concrete Concrete | 40 | \$ 1,111,440.00 | \$ 5,336,046.37 | 2038 |
| Jim Dutcher Trail | Metra Parking Lot Connector | Connection from MetraPark Trail to the Metra Parking lot by Alkali Creek Bridge | | 0.08 | 1996 | Asphalt A | 20 | \$ 1,111,440.00 | \$ 3,330,040.37 | 2030 |
| | Lake Elmo DrBench Blvd Connector | Connection from MetraPark Trail to Lake Elmo Dr./Bench Blvd. Intersection | | 0.08 | | Concrete | 40 | | \$ - | |
| | Downtown Bike Connector | Through Coulson Park to Mystic Park with bike lanes on So. 25th St. | WO 02-09,STPE 1099(30) | 2.93 | 2002 | Concrete | 40 | \$ 916,823.80 | \$ 4,401,689.98 | 204: |
| | Riverfront Park | Asphalt trail through Riverfront Park | | 1.30 | | Asphalt A | 20 | # , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | \$ - | |
| | Riverfront Park Connector | Connection from parking lot by shelter & restroom to trail | | 0.05 | | Asphalt A | 20 | | \$ - | |
| | Josephine Crossing Trail | Connection from Norm's Island to Josephine Subdivision | | 0.24 | 2006 | Soft Surface | | | \$ - | |
| BBWA Canal Trail | Descro Park Trail | Through Descro Park from Broadwater to Central | WO 02-20 | 0.50 | 2003 | Concrete | 40 | \$ 214,364.80 | \$ 1,029,169.83 | 204 |
| | Descro Park Trail Connector | Connections to Meadowlark School and Central Court Village | | 0.06 | 2003 | Concrete | 40 | | \$ - | 204 |
| | Stewart Park Trail | Central south thru Stewart Park to Monad Road | WO 05-10, STPE 1099(40) | 0.50 | 2006 | Concrete | 40 | \$ 317,750.00 | \$ 1,525,524.30 | 204 |
| | Famous Dave's Trail | Connection between Lampman Strip Park Trail and King Ave. W. | | 0.01 | 2005 | Concrete | 40 | | \$ - | 204 |
| | Lampman Strip Park Trail | Across Monad thru Lampman Strip to Famous Dave's Trail | WO 08-15, STPE 1099 (59) | 0.40 | 2009 | Concrete | 40 | \$ 294,432.62 | \$ 1,413,577.08 | 20- |
| | Bannister Drain Trail | Trail linking across King Ave. south to McCall's Trail and east to Midland Trail | WO 06-10, STPE 1099 (55) | 0.60 | 2009 | Concrete | 40 | \$ 465,407.00 | \$ 2,234,428.61 | 20 |
| | Broadwater Crossing | Connection from Lillis Park to Descro Park Trail | WO 08-24, STPE 1099(63) | 0.01 | 2009 | | 50 | \$ 103,930.04 | \$ 738,597.88 | 20 |
| | Midland Trail (24th Street Connector) | Connection through Midland Subdivision to 24th Street W. with link to S.25th St. | | 0.43 | 2005 | Concrete | 40 | , | \$ - | 20 |
| Elmo Lake Trail | Elmo Lake Trail | Trail along the north side of Lake Elmo | | 0.48 | | Soft Surface | | | \$ _ | |
| Pioneer Park Trail | Pioneer Park Trail | Trail through Pioneer Park | | 0.30 | | Asphalt A | 20 | | ¢ | |
| Big Ditch Trail | | Trail east of Shiloh underpass to 38th St. W. | | | 2002 | † | | \$ 20.201.00 | \$ 100 F00 00 | 20 |
| ng Diten Trail | East of Shiloh Underpass | The state of the s | | 0.25 | 2002 | Concrete | 40 | \$ 39,281.00 | | 20 |
| | Shiloh Underpass | Shiloh pedestrian underpass by Big Ditch (near Colton) | WO 00-06 | 0.02 | 2002 | Concrete | 50 | \$ 647,172.00 | | 20 |
| | Big Ditch Trail, Phase 1 | Shiloh underpass west to Larchwood Lane | WO 05-12,STPE 1099(49) | 0.25 | 2006 | Concrete | 40 | \$ 144,973.88 | \$ 696,022.59 | 20 |
| | Big Ditch Trail, Phase 2 | Larchwood Lane to Rimrock West Park (46th St W) | WO 06-09, STPE 1099 (54) | 0.90 | 2007 | Concrete | 40 | \$ 193,672.14 | \$ 929,823.94 | 20 |
| Gabel Road Corridor | TransTech Trail | Trail through west end of TransTech out to Gabel along Hogan's Slough | WO 04-29,STPE 1099(38) | 0.33 | 2005 | Concrete | 40 | \$ 187,464.49 | \$ 900,020.88 | 20 |
| | Gabel Road Connector | Trail through east end of TransTech to 32nd and Gabel | WO 05-19,STPE 1099(45) | 0.33 | 2007 | Concrete | 40 | \$ 109,551.58 | \$ 525,959.40 | 20 |
| Swords Park Airport Road Corridor | Swords Park Trail Phase 1 | Trail from west end of Swords Park to Black Otter Trail split towards the East | WO 03-06 | 2.00 | 2005 | Asphalt A | 20 | \$ 855,183.01 | \$ 1,873,811.28 | 20 |
| • | Swords Park Trail Phase 2 (TBC) | Continuation of the trail to the east to connections under Airport Road | | 1.60 | 2011 | Asphalt A | 20 | \$ 949,504.00 | \$ 2,080,480.19 | 20 |
| | Airport Road Underpasses with Trail Connectors | Underpasses at 27th St., Airport Rd. & Alkali Creek Rd. with trail connectors | | 0.76 | 2009 | Concrete | 40 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | \$ 4,801,020.63 | 20 |
| Sub-total | | | | 18.85 | | 333333 | | \$ 7,720,950.36 | . , , | |
| Average Cost Per Mile | | | | 10.03 | | | | Ψ 1,120,730.30 | Ψ 54,070,101.05 | |
| Average Cost Fer Mile | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | m | | | | | 10 | | | |
| Harvest & Olympic Subdivision Trails | | Trails through Harvest and Olympic Subdivisions | | 5.35 | | Concrete | 40 | | \$ - | |
| Ironwood Estates Subdivision Trail | | Trails through Ironwood Subdivision | | 2.30 | | Asphalt A | 20 | | \$ - | |
| Rehberg Ranch Estates Subdivision Trail | | Trail along Iron Horse Trail | | 1.17 | | Asphalt A | 20 | | \$ - | |
| Kings Green Subdivision Trail | | Trail as buffer between King's Green Sub and Interstate 90 | | 0.19 | 2006 | Concrete | 40 | \$ 57,820.00 | \$ 277,595.01 | 20 |
| Cove Ditch Trail | | Trails through Falcon Ridge, Copper Ridge and Reflections of Copper Ridge | | 0.18 | | Concrete | 40 | | \$ - | |
| Sub-total | | | | 9.19 | | | | \$ 57,820.00 | \$ 62,305,738.80 | |
| Average Cost Per Mile | | | | | | | | , | , , | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alkali Creek Trail Corridor | Alkali Creek Road Trail | Trail along Albali Crook Pood from Black Ding to Senators | W/O 04 12 STDE 1000 (46) | 1.34 | 2005 | Acabalt A | 20 | \$ 290,376.00 | \$ 636,249.57 | 20 |
| inan cicer fran Comuon | Main Street Underpass & Earl Guss Park Trail | Trail along Alkali Creek Road from Black Pine to Senators Ped. underpass (Alkali Creek) with link thru Earl Guss Pk and to sidewalk on west side | WO 04-12, STPE 1099 (46) | | 2005 | Asphalt A | 20 50 | \$ 2,002,150.00 | | |
| | 1 | 1 (/ | WO 03-07, STPE1099 (CN6396) | 0.24 | | Concrete | | \$ 2,002,150.00 | \$ 14,228,646.06 | |
| | Alkali Creek Road Trail, Phase 3 | Continuation of trail along Alkali Creek Road, Black Pine to Swords Pk. North | WO 10-18 | 0.47 | 2010 | Asphalt A | 20 | | \$ - | 20 |
| | Airport Rd., Alkali Creek Rd., 27th Street Underpasses | Underpasses built as part of the Airport Rd. with trail links | | 0.76 | 2009 | Concrete | 40 | \$ 1,000,000.00 | | |
| Zimmerman Road Trail | | Trail along Zimmerman from Poly to Broadwater | | 1.28 | 2006 | Asphalt A | 20 | \$ 90,505.25 | | |
| o. Billings Blvd. Trail | | Trail along So. Billings Blvd. from Underpass Ave. to King Ave. East | | 0.65 | 2006 | Asphalt A | 20 | \$ 52,472.67 | \$ 114,974.08 | 20 |
| Rimrock Road Trail | | Trail along Rimrock Road from Shiloh to 54th St. W. | | 1.65 | 2008 | Asphalt A | 20 | | \$ | 20 |
| Aronson Ave. Trail | | Trail from Alkali Creek Rd. to Camel Place | WO 05-20 | 0.56 | 2009 | Asphalt A | 20 | | \$ - | 20 |
| King Avenue West Trail | | Trail along King Ave. W. from S.32nd W. to S. 44th St. W. | | 1.47 | 2009 | Asphalt A | 20 | | \$ - | 20 |
| King Ave E | Miller Crossing Sub Trail | Trail along King Ave. E. by Cabela's and Sam's from Orchard to So. Billings Blvd. | | 0.50 | 2008 | Concrete | 40 | | \$ | 20 |
| Shiloh Road Corridor | Shiloh Road Trail | Rimrock to ZooMontana | ARRA 1031(##) | 4.60 | 2008 | | 40 | \$ 591,140.31 | \$ 2,838,076.82 | |
| omon Koad Corndor | | | \ / | | | Conc./Asph. | | | | |
| | Yegen Underpass | Underpass on Shiloh between Grand and Broadwater | MT-STPU-CM 1031(8) | 0.03 | 2009 | Concrete | 50 | \$ 468,000.00 | " / / | |
| Sub-total Sub-total | | | | 13.55 | | | | \$ 4,494,644.23 | \$ 26,143,203.12 | |
| Average Cost Per Mile | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| l'Otal | | | | 41.59 | | | | \$ 12,273,414.59 | \$ 122,539,123.75 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |



APPENDIX D EXAMPLE MAINTENANCE PLAN FOR NEW TRAILS







NEW TRAIL MAINTENANCE PLAN

| TRAIL SEGMEN | IT: | | | FROM: | | TO: | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|--|---------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| SURFACE TYPE: | : | ASPHALT | | CONCRETE | E | □ so | FT SURFACE | | | | | |
| APPROXIMATE | LENGTH | l: | Al | PPROXIMA [*] | TE WIDTH: | | | | | | | |
| LANDSCAPE TY | PE: | TURF | ☐ NATIVE G | RASSES | TREES, | /SHRUBS | OTHER | | | | | |
| TRAIL AMENITIE | ES: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ANTICIPATED CONSTRUCTION COST: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ANTICIPATED TIMEFRAME FOR CONSTRUCTION: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IS THE NEW TR | RAIL LOC | CATED WITHIN C | CITY LIMITS? | ☐ YES | | NO | PARTIALLY | | | | | |
| WHICH CITY/C (CHECK ALL TH | | ' agency Will Y) | BE RESPONSIE | 3LE FOR TR | AIL MAINT | enance? | | | | | | |
| | CITY O | F BILLINGS PARI | (S, RECREATIC |)N AND PL | JBLIC LAND | OS DEPARTM | ENT | | | | | |
| CITY OF BILLINGS PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | YELLOWSTONE COUNTY PARK BOARD | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | COUN | TY PUBLIC WOR | KS DEPARTME | NT | | | | | | | | |
| | VOLUN | iteer organiz | ATION – PLEA | SE SPECIFY | ′: | | | | | | | |
| ANTICIPATED N | MAINTEN | NANCE FUNDIN | IG SOURCE:_ | | | | | | | | | |
| ANTICIPATED F | REQUEN | NCY OF ROUTIN | JE MAINTENAI | NCE ACTIV | /ITIES: | | | | | | | |
| TRAIL INSPECTION | ON: | | | IRRIGAT | 10N: | | | | | | | |
| TRAIL SWEEPIN | lG: | | | TREE TR | IMMING:_ | | | | | | | |
| SNOW/ICE RE | MOVAL: | <u>. </u> | | WEED N | MANAGEM | 1ENT: | | | | | | |
| MOWING: | | | | TRASH F | REMOVAL: | | | | | | | |
| | | MAINTENANCE WITH ADJUSTME | • | | | | | | | | | |
| ESTIMATED LIFE | E CYCLE: | <u> </u> | _YEARS | | | | | | | | | |
| ESTIMATED REPLACEMENT YEAR: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ESTIMATED REP | PLACEME | ENT COST: \$ | | | | | | | | | | |